

Fixed Point Theorems for Condensing Maps in S -KKM Class

YOUNG-YE HUANG

*Center for General Education
Southern Taiwan University of Technology
1 Nan-Tai St. Yung-Kang City
Tainan Hsien 710, Taiwan
E-mail: yueh@mail.stut.edu.tw*

JYH-CHUNG JENG*

*Nan-Jeon Institute of Technology
Yanshoei, Tainan Hsien 737
Taiwan
E-mail: jhychung@pchome.com.tw*

TIAN-YUAN KUO

*Fooyin University
151 Chin-Hsueh Rd., Ta-Liao Hsiang, Kaohsiung Hsien 831
Taiwan
E-mail: sc038@mail.fy.edu.tw*

This paper presents some new fixed point results for condensing multimaps in s -KKM class in the setting of locally G -convex uniform spaces. We mainly show that every l.s.c., closed and generalized condensing self-multimap with s -KKM property on a complete locally G -convex space has a fixed point. Some applications to quasi-equilibrium problem of these fixed point results are also given.

2000 AMS Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases: fixed point, condensing multimap, generalized condensing, G -convex space, S -KKM property.

* Corresponding author

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Chang et al. [3] introduced the S -KKM class, where a lot of interesting fixed point theorems on locally convex topological vector spaces were established. Later, these results were extended to locally G -convex uniform spaces in [8]. On the other hand, we introduced the concepts of measure of precompactness and condensing multimaps on locally G -convex uniform spaces in [5]. The intent of this paper is to present some fixed point theorems for condensing multimaps in S -KKM class in the setting of locally G -convex uniform spaces. These results partially cover our previous results in [3], [4] and [8].

We now recall some basic definitions and facts. For a nonempty set Y , 2^Y denotes the class of all subsets of Y and $\langle Y \rangle$ denotes the class of all nonempty finite subsets of Y . A multimap $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a function from a set X into the power set 2^Y of Y . The notation $T : X \multimap Y$ stands for a multimap $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ having nonempty values.

For a multimap $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$, $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$, the image of A under T is the set $T(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} T(x)$; and the inverse image of B under T is $T^{-}(B) = \{x \in X : T(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$.

All topological spaces are supposed to be Hausdorff. The closure of a subset X of a topological space is denoted by \bar{X} . Let X and Y be two topological spaces. A multimap $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to be

- (a) lower semicontinuous(l.s.c.) if $T^{-}(B)$ is open in X for each open subset B of Y ;
- (b) compact if $T(X)$ is contained in a compact subset of Y ;
- (c) closed if its graph $Gr(T) = \{(x, y) : y \in T(x), x \in X\}$ is a closed subset of $X \times Y$.

For $n \geq 0$, Δ_n denotes the standard n -simplex of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , that is,

$$\Delta_n = \left\{ \alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \alpha_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i = 1 \right\};$$

and $\{\mathbf{e}_0, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$, the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , is the set of the vertices of Δ_n .

Definition 1.1.(Park and Kim [10]) *A generalized convex space or a G -convex space $(E; \Gamma)$ consists of a topological space E and a map $\Gamma : \langle E \rangle \multimap E$ such that*

- (a) *for any $A, B \in \langle E \rangle$, $A \subseteq B$ implies $\Gamma(A) \subseteq \Gamma(B)$; and*
- (b) *for each $A = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\} \in \langle E \rangle$ with $|A| = n + 1$, there exists a continuous*

function $\varphi_A : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ such that if $0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$, then $\varphi_A(\text{co}\{\mathbf{e}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_k}\}) \subseteq \Gamma(\{a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_k}\})$.

In this paper, we assume that a G -convex space $(E; \Gamma)$ always satisfies the extra condition: $x \in \Gamma(\{x\})$ for any $x \in E$.

A subset K of a G -convex space $(E; \Gamma)$ is said to be Γ -convex if for any $A \in \langle K \rangle$, $\Gamma(A) \subseteq K$. For a nonempty subset Q of E , the Γ -convex hull of Q , denoted by $\Gamma\text{-co}(Q)$, is defined by

$$\Gamma\text{-co}(Q) = \cap\{C : Q \subset C \subset E, C \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-convex}\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\Gamma\text{-co}(Q)$ is the smallest Γ -convex subset of E containing Q . For convenience, we also express $\Gamma(A)$ by Γ_A . By the definition of a G -convex space $(E; \Gamma)$, it is easy to check that $A \subseteq \Gamma_A$ for any $A \in \langle E \rangle$. If X is a nonempty Γ -convex subset of E , then $(X; \Gamma|_{\langle X \rangle})$ is also a G -convex space.

The concept of S -KKM property in G -convex spaces was introduced in [8]:

Definition 1.2. Let X be a nonempty set, $(E; \Gamma)$ a G -convex space and Y a topological space. If $S : X \multimap E$, $T : E \multimap Y$ and $F : X \multimap Y$ are three multimaps satisfying

$$T(\Gamma_{S(A)}) \subseteq F(A)$$

for any $A \in \langle X \rangle$, then F is called a S -KKM mapping with respect to T . A multimap $T : E \multimap Y$ is said to have the S -KKM property if for any S -KKM mapping F with respect to T , the family $\{\overline{F(x)} : x \in X\}$ has the finite intersection property. The class $S\text{-KKM}(X, E, Y)$ is defined to be the set $\{T : E \multimap Y : T \text{ has the } S\text{-KKM property}\}$.

In the case that $X = E$ and S is the identity mapping 1_X , $S\text{-KKM}(X, E, Y)$ is abbreviated as $\text{KKM}(E, Y)$, and a 1_X -KKM mapping with respect to T is called a KKM mapping with respect to T , and 1_X -KKM property is called KKM property. For any nonempty set X , G -convex space $(E; \Gamma)$, topological space Y and any $S : X \multimap E$, one has $\text{KKM}(E, Y) \subseteq S\text{-KKM}(X, E, Y)$.

A uniformity for a set X is a nonempty family \mathcal{U} of subsets of $X \times X$ such that (a) each member of \mathcal{U} contains the diagonal Δ ;

- (b) if $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then $U^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}$;
- (c) if $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then $V \circ V \subseteq U$ for some V in \mathcal{U} ;
- (d) if U and V are members of \mathcal{U} , then $U \cap V \in \mathcal{U}$; and
- (e) if $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $U \subseteq V \subseteq X \times X$, then $V \in \mathcal{U}$.

Every member V in \mathcal{U} is called an entourage. An entourage V is said to symmetric if $(x, y) \in V$ whenever $(y, x) \in V$.

If (X, \mathcal{U}) is a uniform space, then the topology \mathcal{T} induced by \mathcal{U} is the family of all subsets W of X such that for each x in W there is U in \mathcal{U} such that $U[x] \subseteq W$, where $U[x]$ is defined as $\{y \in X : (x, y) \in U\}$. If H is a subset of X and U is in \mathcal{U} , then $U[H] := \cup_{x \in H} U[x]$. For details of uniform spaces we refer to [6].

Definition 1.3.(Watson [12]) *A G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ is a G -convex space so that its topology is induced by a uniformity \mathcal{U} . A G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ is said to be a locally G -convex uniform space if the uniformity \mathcal{U} has a base \mathcal{B} consisting of open symmetric entourages such that for each $V \in \mathcal{B}$*

(1.3.1) $V[x]$ is Γ -convex for any $x \in E$; and

(1.3.2) $V[K]$ is Γ -convex whenever K is a Γ -convex subset of X .

A subset S of a uniform space E is said to be precompact if, for any entourage V , there is a finite subset N of E such that $S \subseteq V[N]$. In this paper, **for a locally G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$, the convex structure Γ is assumed to have the property that Γ -co(A) is precompact whenever A is precompact, and \mathcal{B} will always denotes a base of \mathcal{U} so that it has the properties described in Definition 1.3.**

Finally, we state a fixed point theorem of Kuo et al. [8, Theorem 3.9] which will be quoted in the sequel.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be any nonempty set, $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ a locally G -convex uniform space and $s : X \rightarrow E$. If $T \in s$ -KKM(X, E, E) satisfies that*

(1.4.1) T is compact and closed;

(1.4.2) $\overline{T(E)} \subseteq s(X)$, then T has a fixed point.

If s is surjective, then condition (1.4.2) is surely satisfied, so Theorem 1.4 holds for a surjection s .

2. Fixed Point Theorems

We begin this section by presenting a fixed point theorem of Mönch type, cf. Agarwal and O'Regan [1], [2] and the references therein.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a nonempty closed Γ -convex subset of a complete locally G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ and $s : X \rightarrow E$ a surjection. Assume $T \in s\text{-KKM}(X, E, E)$ is closed and satisfies the following conditions:*

(2.1.1) *T maps compact sets into precompact sets;*

(2.1.2) *there is $x_0 \in X$ such that if $C \subseteq X$ is countable and $C \subseteq \overline{\Gamma\text{-co}}(T(\overline{C}) \cup \{x_0\})$, then C is precompact;*

(2.1.3) *for any precompact subset A of X there is a countable subset B of A with $\overline{B} = \overline{A}$;*

(2.1.4) *$T(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{T(A)}$ for any precompact subset A of X .*

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $D_0 = \{x_0\}$ and $D_n = \Gamma\text{-co}(T(D_{n-1}) \cup \{x_0\})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $D = \bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} D_n$. Noting that each D_n is Γ -convex and

$$D_0 \subseteq D_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq D_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq D \subseteq X,$$

we deduce that D is Γ -convex. Also, it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Gamma\text{-co}(T(D_{n-1}) \cup \{x_0\}) \\ &\subseteq \Gamma\text{-co}(T(D) \cup \{x_0\}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

On the other hand, since $\{D_n\}_0^{+\infty}$ is increasing and since

$$\Gamma\text{-co}(T(D) \cup \{x_0\}) = \bigcup \{\Gamma\text{-co}(A) : A \in \langle T(D) \cup \{x_0\} \rangle\}, \tag{2.2}$$

cf. [11, Lemma 2.1], we have that for any $A \in \langle T(D) \cup \{x_0\} \rangle$ there is a D_k with

$A \in \langle T(D_{k-1}) \cup \{x_0\} \rangle$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\text{-co}(A) &\subseteq \Gamma\text{-co}(T(D_{k-1}) \cup \{x_0\}) \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Gamma\text{-co}(T(D_{n-1}) \cup \{x_0\}) = D. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

It follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$D = \Gamma\text{-co}(T(D) \cup \{x_0\}). \quad (2.4)$$

We now show by induction that each D_n is precompact. Obviously, D_0 is precompact. Assume D_k is precompact for $k \geq 1$. Condition (2.1.1) guarantees that $T(\overline{D_k})$ is precompact, so $\overline{T(\overline{D_k})} \cup \{x_0\}$ is compact. By our hypotheses on locally G -convex uniform spaces, $\Gamma\text{-co}(T(\overline{D_k}) \cup \{x_0\})$ is precompact. Thus D_{k+1} is precompact once we note that

$$D_{k+1} = \Gamma\text{-co}(T(D_k) \cup \{x_0\}) \subseteq \Gamma\text{-co}(\overline{T(\overline{D_k})} \cup \{x_0\}).$$

This completes the induction for showing each D_n is precompact.

Next, condition (2.1.3) implies that for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, there is a countable subset C_n of D_n such that $\overline{C_n} = \overline{D_n}$. Let $C = \bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} C_n$. Since

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} D_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} \overline{D_n} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} D_n},$$

we have

$$\overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} \overline{D_n}} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} D_n} = \overline{D}$$

and

$$\overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} \overline{D_n}} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} \overline{C_n}} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} C_n} = \overline{C}.$$

Consequently,

$$\overline{C} = \overline{D}. \quad (2.5)$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.5) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} C &\subseteq \overline{C} = \overline{D} = \overline{\Gamma\text{-co}(T(D) \cup \{x_0\})} \\ &\subseteq \overline{\Gamma\text{-co}(T(\overline{D}) \cup \{x_0\})} \\ &= \overline{\Gamma\text{-co}(T(\overline{C}) \cup \{x_0\})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Since C is countable, it follows from (2.1.2) and (2.7) that \overline{C} is compact, and hence \overline{D} is compact by (2.5).

Finally, notice that (2.4) implies $T(D) \subseteq D$, which together with (2.1.4) shows $T(\overline{D}) \subseteq \overline{D}$. Putting $K = s^-(\overline{D})$, we see that $s : K \rightarrow \overline{D}$ is surjective and $T \in s\text{-KKM}(K, \overline{D}, \overline{D})$ is compact and closed. The existence of a fixed point for T now follows from Theorem 1.4. \square

That a multimap $T : X \multimap Y$ is l.s.c. could be phrased as: *For any $x \in X$ and any net $\{x^\alpha\}$ in X which converges to x and any $y \in T(x)$, there exists a net $\{y^\alpha\}$ in Y such that for each α , $y^\alpha \in T(x^\alpha)$ and y^α converges to y .* By means of this characterization, it is easy to see that $T(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{T(A)}$ for any subset A of X . Hence, the above theorem holds true when (2.1.4) is replaced with (2.1.4)' T is l.s.c.

Theorem 2.2. *X be a nonempty closed Γ -convex subset of a complete locally G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ and $s : X \rightarrow E$ a surjection. Assume $T \in s\text{-KKM}(X, X, X)$ is closed and satisfies*

(2.2.1) *there is $x_0 \in X$ such that if $A \subseteq X$ with $A \subseteq \Gamma\text{-co}(T(A) \cup \{x_0\})$, then A is precompact;*

(2.2.2) *for any precompact Γ -convex subset A of X with $T(x) \cap \overline{A} \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in \overline{A}$, the multimap $H : \overline{A} \multimap \overline{A}$ defined by $H(x) = T(x) \cap \overline{A}$ is in $s\text{-KKM}(s^-(\overline{A}), \overline{A}, \overline{A})$.*

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let D and D_n , $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, be as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. We have seen that D is Γ -convex and (2.4) holds. Thus, by (2.2.1) and (2.4), D is precompact. Moreover, it follows from (2.4) that $T(D) \subseteq D$. Hence, if $x \in D$, we surely have

$$T(x) \cap \overline{D} \supseteq T(x) \cap D = T(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

If $x \in \overline{D} \setminus D$, choose a net $\{x_\alpha\}$ in D such that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$. For any α , choose $y_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha)$. Since $\{y_\alpha\} \subseteq T(D) \subseteq \overline{D}$ and \overline{D} is compact, y_α has a subnet y_{α_j} so that $y_{\alpha_j} \rightarrow y$ for some $y \in \overline{D}$. Therefore the multimap $H : \overline{D} \multimap \overline{D}$ defined by $H(x) = T(x) \cap \overline{D}$ is well-defined. Let $K = s^-(\overline{D})$. Then $s : K \rightarrow \overline{D}$ is surjective.

Furthermore, H is in s -KKM($K, \overline{D}, \overline{D}$) by (2.2.2). Clearly, H is compact and closed, so it has a fixed point by Theorem 1.4. \square

In the remainder of this section we investigate the fixed point problem for condensing multimaps in s -KKM class. At first, we quote some definitions and results in [5].

Definition 2.3.(Huang et al. [5]) *For a subset A of locally G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$, the measure of the precompactness of A is defined to be*

$$\Psi(A) = \{V \in \mathcal{B} : A \subseteq V[S] \text{ for some precompact subset } S \text{ of } E\}.$$

Clearly, the larger $\Psi(A)$ the more nearly is A precompact. In fact, we have

Proposition 2.4.(Huang et al. [5]) *Let A and B be subsets of $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$. Then*

- (a) A is precompact iff $\Psi(A) = \mathcal{B}$;
- (b) $\Psi(A) \supseteq \Psi(B)$ if $A \subseteq B$;
- (c) $\Psi(\Gamma\text{-co}(A)) = \Psi(A)$;
- (d) $\Psi(A \cup B) = \Psi(A) \cap \Psi(B)$.

Definition 2.5.(Huang et al. [5]) *Suppose X is a nonempty subset of a locally G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ and Ψ is the measure of precompactness in Definition 2.3. A multimap $T : X \rightarrow 2^E$ is called condensing provided $\Psi(A) \subsetneq \Psi(T(A))$ for any subset A that is not precompact. T is called generalized condensing if whenever $A \subseteq X$, $T(A) \subseteq A$ and $A \setminus \Gamma\text{-co}(T(A))$ is precompact, then A is precompact.*

It is obvious that every compact map or every map defined on a compact set is condensing. Also, every condensing map is generalized condensing.

Lemma 2.6.(Huang et al. [5]) *Let X be a nonempty Γ -convex subset of a locally G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ and $T : X \multimap X$. Then,*

- (a) *for any $x_0 \in X$, there is a precompact Γ -convex subset K of X such that*

$$T(K) \subseteq K \text{ and } K = \Gamma\text{-co}(T(K) \cup \{x_0\}).$$

provided that T is condensing;

- (b) *there is a nonempty precompact Γ -convex subset K of X such that $T(K) \subseteq K$*

and

$$T(x) \cap \overline{K} \neq \emptyset \text{ for any } x \in \overline{K}.$$

provided that X is complete and T is generalized condensing and closed.

We now in a position to present fixed point results for condensing multimaps.

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a nonempty closed Γ -convex subset of a complete locally G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ and $s : X \rightarrow X$ a surjection. If $T \in s\text{-KKM}(X, X, X)$ is closed, generalized condensing and satisfies that*

$$T(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{T(A)}$$

for any precompact subset A of X , then T has a fixed point.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, there exists a precompact Γ -convex subset K of X such that $T(K) \subseteq K$, which in conjunction with $T(\overline{K}) \subseteq \overline{T(K)}$ shows that $T(\overline{K}) \subseteq \overline{K}$. Putting $D = s^{-1}(\overline{K})$. Then $s : D \rightarrow \overline{K}$ is surjective and $T \in s\text{-KKM}(D, \overline{K}, \overline{K})$ is compact and closed. So, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.4. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let X be a nonempty closed Γ -convex subset of a complete locally G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ and $s : X \rightarrow X$ a surjection. If $T \in s\text{-KKM}(X, X, X)$ is l.s.c., closed and generalized condensing, then T has a fixed point.*

Proof. Since T is l.s.c., we have that $T(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{T(A)}$ for any subset A of X , so the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.7. \square

When X is a nonempty closed convex subset of a locally convex topological vector space, it is shown in [4] that every closed and generalized condensing self multimap on X with $s\text{-KKM}$ property has a fixed point. Hence, it is interesting to ask whether the condition of lower semicontinuity can be dropped in Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 2.9. *Let X be a nonempty closed Γ -convex subset of a complete locally*

G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ and $s : X \rightarrow X$ a surjection. Assume $T : X \multimap X$ satisfies

(2.9.1) T is closed and generalized condensing;

(2.9.2) for any precompact subset A of X , if

$$H(x) = T(x) \cap \overline{A} \neq \emptyset, \text{ for any } x \in \overline{A},$$

then $H \in s\text{-KKM}(s^-(\overline{A}), \overline{A}, \overline{A})$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Condition (2.9.1) and Lemma 2.6 show that there is a precompact Γ -convex subset K of X such that $T(K) \subseteq K$ and $T(x) \cap \overline{K} \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in \overline{K}$. Define $H : \overline{K} \multimap \overline{K}$ by $H(x) = T(x) \cap \overline{K}$ for $x \in \overline{K}$. Then condition (2.9.2) gives us that $H \in s\text{-KKM}(s^-(\overline{K}), \overline{K}, \overline{K})$. Obviously, H is compact and closed. As H satisfies all requirements of Theorem 1.4, it has a fixed point \hat{x} which is also a fixed point of T .

□

If $T(\overline{K}) \subseteq \overline{T(K)}$ and $T \in s\text{-KKM}(X, X, X)$, then $T \in s\text{-KKM}(s^-(\overline{K}), \overline{K}, \overline{K})$, so Theorem 2.7 can be derived from Theorem 2.9.

3. Applications

In this section, we shall deduce some quasi-equilibrium theorems as applications of the previous fixed point results.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a nonempty closed Γ -convex subset of a complete locally G -convex uniform space $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$, $s : X \rightarrow X$ a surjection, $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an upper semicontinuous function and $H : X \multimap X$ a closed, condensing multimap with compact values. Assume the following two conditions holds:*

(3.1.1) *The function $M : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$M(x) = \max_{y \in H(x)} f(x, y)$$

is lower semicontinuous.

(3.1.2.) *The multimap $T : X \multimap X$ defined by*

$$T(x) = \{y \in H(x) : f(x, y) = M(x)\}$$

is in $s\text{-KKM}(X, X, X)$ and satisfies $T(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{T(A)}$ for any precompact subset A of X .

Then there exists an $\hat{x} \in X$ such that $\hat{x} \in H(\hat{x})$ and $f(\hat{x}, \hat{x}) = M(\hat{x})$.

Proof. Since f is u.s.c. and $H(x)$ is compact, $T(x)$ is nonempty for any $x \in X$. We claim that T is closed. Let $\{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)\}$ be a net in $Gr(T)$ and $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \rightarrow (x, y)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &\geq \limsup_{\alpha} f(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) = \limsup_{\alpha} M(x_\alpha) \\ &\geq \liminf_{\alpha} M(x_\alpha) \\ &\geq M(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (3.1.1). Since H is closed and $y_\alpha \in H(x_\alpha)$ for any α , we see that $(x, y) \in Gr(H)$. Hence $y \in \{z \in H(x) : f(x, z) = M(x)\}$, which shows T is closed. In addition, T is condensing. In fact, if $A \subseteq X$ is not precompact, then, since H is condensing, we have from Proposition 2.4 that

$$\Psi(A) \subsetneq \Psi(H(A)) \subseteq \Psi(T(A)),$$

This shows that T is condensing. Consequently, T has a fixed point \hat{x} by Theorem 2.7, that is, $\hat{x} \in H(\hat{x})$ and $f(\hat{x}, \hat{x}) = M(\hat{x})$. \square

Suppose $\{(E_i; \mathcal{U}_i, \Gamma_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is any family of locally G -convex uniform spaces. Let $E = \prod_{i \in I} E_i$ be equipped with product topology induced by the product uniformity $\mathcal{U} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{U}_i$, and the product convexity structure $\Gamma = \prod_{i \in I} \Gamma_i : \langle E \rangle \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$\Gamma(A) = \prod_{i \in I} \Gamma_i(\pi_i(A))$$

for each $A \in \langle E \rangle$, where $\pi_i : E \rightarrow E_i$ is the projection of E onto E_i . Then $(E; \mathcal{U}, \Gamma)$ is a locally G -convex uniform space, cf. [5]. Moreover, if each $(E_i; \mathcal{U}_i, \Gamma_i)$ is complete and if each Γ_i has the property that $\Gamma_i\text{-co}(A_i)$ is precompact for any precompact subset A_i of E_i , then Γ has the similar property, that is, $\Gamma\text{-co}(A)$ is precompact whenever A is precompact in E . To see this, let A be a precompact subset of E . Since each π_i is uniformly continuous, we see that each $\pi_i(A)$ is precompact in E_i . Now, noting that

$$A \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} \Gamma_i\text{-co}(\pi_i(A)) \subseteq \overline{\prod_{i \in I} \Gamma_i\text{-co}(\pi_i(A))} \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} \overline{\Gamma_i\text{-co}(\pi_i(A))}$$

and $\Pi_{i \in I} \overline{\Gamma_i\text{-co}}(\pi_i(A))$ is Γ -convex, cf. [5, Lemma 2.5], we obtain that $\Gamma\text{-co}(A) \subseteq \Pi_{i \in I} \overline{\Gamma_i\text{-co}}(\pi_i(A))$. So, the compactness of $\Pi_{i \in I} \overline{\Gamma_i\text{-co}}(\pi_i(A))$ implies that $\Gamma\text{-co}(A)$ is precompact.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of nonempty closed Γ_i -convex subsets, each in a complete locally G -convex uniform space $(E_i; \mathcal{U}_i, \Gamma_i)$, K_i a nonempty compact subset of X_i and $T_i : X = \Pi_{i \in I} X_i \multimap K_i$ a l.s.c., closed multimap. Assume $T : X \multimap K = \Pi_{i \in I} K_i$ defined by $T(x) = \Pi_{i \in I} T_i(x)$ for each $x \in X$ has the KKM property. Then there is $\hat{x} \in X$ such that $\hat{x}_i \in T_i(\hat{x})$, for any $i \in I$.*

Proof. Obviously, T is a compact and closed multimap. Furthermore, since each T_i is l.s.c., so is T , cf. [7, Theorem 7.3.12]. Therefore, T has a fixed point \hat{x} by Theorem 2.8, that is, $\hat{x}_i \in T_i(x)$ for any $i \in I$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of nonempty closed Γ_i -convex subsets, each in a complete locally G -convex uniform space $(E_i; \mathcal{U}_i, \Gamma_i)$, K_i a nonempty compact subset of X_i , $H_i : X = \Pi_{i \in I} X_i \multimap K_i$ a closed multimap with compact values, and $f_i, g_i : X^{-i} \times X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be upper semicontinuous functions, where X^{-i} denotes $\Pi_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} X_j$. Assume that*

(3.3.1) $g_i(x) \leq f_i(x)$ for any $x \in X$;

(3.3.2) for any $i \in I$, the function $M_i : X = X^{-i} \times X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$M_i(x) = \max_{y \in H_i(x)} g_i(x^{-i}, y)$$

is lower semicontinuous; and

(3.3.3) for any $i \in I$, the multimap $T_i : X \multimap K_i$ defined by

$$T_i(x) = \{y \in H_i(x) : f_i(x^{-i}, y) \geq M_i(x)\}$$

is l.s.c.

(3.3.4) $T : X \multimap K = \Pi_{i \in I} K_i$ defined by $T(x) = \Pi_{i \in I} T_i(x)$ for each $x \in X$ has the KKM property.

Then there exists an $\hat{x} \in X$ such that for each $i \in I$,

$$\hat{x}_i \in H_i(\hat{x}) \text{ and } f_i(\hat{x}^{-i}, \hat{x}_i) \geq M_i(\hat{x}).$$

Proof. Firstly, note each $T_i(x)$ is nonempty by (3.3.1) since each $H_i(x)$ is compact

and $g_i(x^{-i}, \cdot)$ is u.s.c. on $H_i(x)$. Next, just as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, $Gr(T_i)$ is closed in $X \times K_i$ and T_i is compact. Applying Lemma 3.2, we infer that there is an $\hat{x} \in X$ such that $\hat{x}_i \in T_i(x)$ for any $i \in I$, that is, $\hat{x}_i \in H_i(\hat{x})$ and $f_i(\hat{x}^{-i}, \hat{x}_i) \geq M_i(\hat{x})$.
 \square

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, Fixed point theorems for S -KKM maps, Appl. Math. Lett. 16(2003)1257-1264.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, Essentiality for Mönch type maps, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129(2000)1015-1020.
- [3] T. H. Chang, Y. Y. Huang and J. C. Ieng and K. W. Kuo, On S -KKM property and related topics, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 229(1999)212-227.
- [4] T. H. Chang, Y. Y. Huang and J. C. Ieng, Fixed point theorems for multi-functions in S -KKM class, Nonlinear Analysis, 44(2001)1007-1017.
- [5] Y. Y. Huang, T. Y. Kuo and J. C. Jeng, Fixed point theorems for condensing multimaps on locally G -convex spaces, Nonlinear Analysis(2006) (doi:10.1016/j.na.2006.07.034).
- [6] J. L. Kelly, General Topology, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ., 1955.
- [7] E. Klein and A. C. Thompson, Theory of Correspondences, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1984.
- [8] T. Y. Kuo, Y. Y. Huang, J. C. Jeng and C. Y. Shih, Coincidence and fixed point theorems for functions in S -KKM class on generalized convex spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Application 2006 (doi:10.1155/FPTA/2006/72184).
- [9] T. Y. Kuo, J. C. Jeng and Y. Y. Huang, Fixed point theorems for compact multimaps on almost Γ -convex sets in generalized convex spaces, Nonlinear Analysis 66(2007)415-426.
- [10] S. Park and Kim, Foundations of the KKM theory on generalized convex spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 209(1997)551-571.

- [11] K. K. Tan and X. L. Zhang, Fixed point theorems on G -convex spaces and applications, Proceedings of Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, Vol. 1 (1996), 1-19, Kyungnam University, Masan, Korea.
- [12] P. J. Watson, Coincidences and fixed points in locally G -convex spaces, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 59(1999)297-304.